1	Appendix I
2	
3	
4	
5	Radiological Accidents

Radiological Accidents

The information below summarizes the review of existing information on accidents at decommissioning nuclear power facilities using the DECON or SAFSTOR option. The ENTOMB option was not included in this review because of the lack of available information; however, accidents would likely be similar to the DECON option during preparation of the facility for entombment. The purpose of this review was to determine the potential accidents that could occur at nuclear power facilities that have permanently ceased operations. When available, the potential offsite doses from these accidents were analyzed to determine which accidents could have the greatest offsite impact. This appendix provides an assessment of the activities conducted during decommissioning and determines whether accidents of greater consequence may occur during those activities.

 As indicated in the Introduction to this Supplement, although the staff relies on the Commission's Waste Confidence Proceeding Finding, which states, in part, that there is, "reasonable assurance that, if necessary, spent fuel generated in any reactor can be stored safely and without significant impact for at least 30 yrs beyond the licensed life for operation...of that reactor at its spent fuel storage basin..." (54 Federal Register 39767), (a) the staff has elected to include in this Supplement a discussion of potential accidents related to the storage and maintenance of fuel in a spent fuel pool.

Three sources of information were reviewed to obtain a list of potential accidents and their consequences: (1) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) research efforts, including NUREGs, NUREG/CRs, and the 1988 GEIS (NRC 1988), (2) industry-related publications and documents, and (3) licensing-basis documents for the individual plants, such as post-shutdown decommissioning activity reports (PSDARs), decommissioning plans, final safety analysis reports (FSARs) or FSAR-equivalent documents, or environmental reports (ERs) developed by the licensee. A list of documents used for this analysis is provided in Section I.5. Included as

⁽a) The Commission reaffirmed this finding of insignificant environmental impacts in 1999. This finding is codified in the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 51.23(a).

well were environmental assessments (EAs), environmental impact statements (EISs), safety evaluations, or emergency exemptions that were written by NRC. Twenty of the 22 plants listed in Chapter 3 were included in the analysis, which was completed in late 1999. Zion, Units 1 and 2, the most recent plants to permanently cease operations, were not included.

I.1 Potential Accidents Considered During Decommissioning

Table I-1 contains a list of the accidents that were considered for both pressurized water reactors (PWRs) and boiling water reactors (BWRs) during decommissioning in early studies on safety and the cost of decommissioning PWRs and BWRs (Smith et al. 1978 and Oak et al. 1980, respectively). Both documents also considered several other types of accidents that were determined to be either of low probability or to result in very small releases, as shown in Table I-2. These accidents are listed along with a brief description or discussion of the accidents, as given in Smith et al. (1978) and Oak et al. (1980). The discussion in this section does not evaluate whether the accidents described in Smith et al. (1978) or Oak et al. (1980) should still be considered appropriate to the decommissioning process. As a result of improvements in the technology used for decommissioning, several of the accidents listed in Table I-2 may now be considered to be of a much lower probability or, at the least, to result in much-reduced consequences. Table I-3 provides a comprehensive list of accidents of potential accidents at facilities undergoing decommissioning, including HTGRs and FBRs.

The 1988 GEIS (NRC 1988) also considered accidents that could potentially occur during decommissioning. The list of postulated accidents was developed from the lists given in Smith et al. (1978) and Oak et al. (1980). However, not all accidents contained in these two documents were included in the 1988 GEIS, as shown by the footnote in Table I-1.

 The staff conducted a study of spent fuel pool accident risk at decommissioning nuclear power facilities to support development of a risk-informed technical basis for reviewing exemption requests and a regulatory framework for integrated rulemaking (NRC 2001). Earlier analyses in NUREG/CR-4982, Severe Accidents in Spent Fuel Pools in Support of Generic Issue 82, (Sailor et al. 1987) and NUREG/CR-6451, A Safety and Regulatory Assessment of Generic BWR and PWR Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Plants (Travis et al. 1997) included a limited analysis of the offsite consequences of a severe spent fuel pool accident. As part of its effort to develop generic, risk-informed requirements for decommissioning, the staff performed a further, analysis of the offsite radiological consequences of beyond-design-basis spent fuel pool accidents. The external event initiators included:

seismic events (earthquakes)

aircraft crashes

tornadoes and high winds

Undergoing Decommissioning Operations^(a) 2 3 4 **Pressurized Water Reactors Boiling Water Reactors** 5 Explosion of liquid propane gas leaked from a Explosion of liquid propane gas leaked from a front-6 front-end loader - Explosion ruptures filters and end loader - Used to load concrete rubble in the reactor building. Assumed to occur in building ventilation 7 prefilters in the purge exhaust filter banks in 8 ductwork and to cause failure of filters and blowers as containment. well as to release radioactive contamination that is deposited on the high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters and in the ductwork. 9 Explosion of oxyacetylene during segmentation of Oxyacetylene explosion – During use of oxyacetylene cutting torch to remove the activated portion of the 10 the reactor pressure vessel - Postulated during reactor vessel in air before segmenting the removed 11 segmenting of the reactor pressure vessel in the 12 reactor cavity. Explosion is sufficient to cause failure sections under water. 13 of the HEPA filter in the contamination control 14 envelope. 15 Explosion and/or fire in the ion exchange resin -16 Explosive release of an ion exchange column in a 17 nuclear waste facility. 18 **Detonation of Unused Explosives in the Reactor Detonation of unused explosives** – Assumes that a 19 Cavity(b) - A charge used to scarf the bioshield is charge positioned to remove the sacrificial shield 20 detonated when the water spray is turned off, and the explodes when the water sprays are off and the 21 blasting mat and contamination control envelope are contamination control envelope has been removed. 22 not in place. 23 Fire in contaminated sweeping compound(b) -Contaminated sweeping compound fire – Sweeping 24 Sweeping compound is composed of sawdust treated compound is composed of sawdust treated with oil or 25 with oil or other additives to enhance pickup of other additives to enhance collection of loose surface 26 contamination. Postulated to catch fire spontaneously. contamination. A fire is postulated to occur in used 27 Contains contamination from the floor surfaces. sweeping compound contaminated with radioactive material. 28 Gross leak during in situ decontamination – Leak of Gross leak during loop chemical decontamination -29 10 times the magnitude of the routine in situ A massive failure of reactor piping during loop chemical 30 decontamination leak for 30 minutes. decontamination is assumed to be low. This accident involves a gross leak about 10 times larger than the spray lead. A total of 1% of the liquid in the system is assumed to be made airborne. 31 Segmentation of reactor coolant system (RCS) 32 piping with unremoved contamination – Released to 33 the reactor containment building since no 34 contamination-control envelope is assumed to be 35 used.

Table I-1. Summary of Accidents for PWR and BWR Plants

Table I-1. (contd) 1 2 3 **Pressurized Water Reactors Boiling Water Reactors** 4 Loss of contamination control envelope during Contamination control envelope rupture – During 5 oxyacetylene cutting of the reactor vessel shell oxyacetylene cutting. Molten metal particles penetrate 6 Molten metal particles penetrate the plastic sheet the plastic sheet walls and increase leakage into the reactor building. Assumed to occur during the removal of walls. Release lasts 5 minutes. the reactor vessel. Assumed large leak occurs for 1 hour of cutting before it is detected. 8 Pressure surge damage to filters during blasting of Filter damage from blasting surges – During removal activated concrete bioshield(b) of activated concrete in the sacrificial shield. 9 10 Loss of blasting mat during removal of activated 11 concrete(b) - Protective blasting mat is lost during 12 blasting, and confinement barriers could be breached. 13 Temporary loss of local airborne contamination **control during blasting**^(a) – A contamination control 14 15 envelope is required in the reactor containment 16 building during the explosive removal of the 17 contaminated concrete in the biological shield. Loss of 18 fine fog spray and contamination control increases the 19 dust made airborne. 20 Loss of integrity of portable filtered ventilation 21 enclosure during segmentation of the steam 22 generators(b) - Substantial breach occurs and is 23 readily apparent. Segmenting is promptly terminated. 24 Air flow continues for 10 minutes. 25 Vacuum bag rupture – Metal shards rupture the filter Vacuum filter-bag rupture – From metal shard. 26 bag and puncture the vacuum cleaner, releasing all the releasing all collected material to the reactor building. 27 collected material into the air. 28 Fire involving contaminated clothing or Combustible waste fire – Assumed 1 m³ (35 ft³) of 29 combustible waste(b) - Assumed 1 m3 (35 ft3) of combustible waste (absorbent materials such as rags or 30 combustible waste (absorbent materials such as rags paper wipes). 31 or paper wipes). 32 Accidental cutting of contaminated piping - Caused 33 by human error. Assumed pipe is 25 cm (10 in.) or 34 smaller. 35 Accidental spraying of concentrated contamination with the high-pressure spray - Postulated to be in 36 37 the thermal insulation that has hidden a slow leak for a 38 number of years. Results in an airborne release. 39 Accidental break of contaminated piping during 40 **inspection**(b) – Occurs during SAFSTOR in reactor 41 building. Pipe is weakened by corrosion and becomes 42 damaged by incidental jostling or hitting of pipe. 43 Assumed not to have been decontaminated in situ. 44 Ventilation system is not operating.

Table I-1. (contd)

4

5 6

Pressurized Water Reactors	Boiling Water Reactors	
Minor accidents with closed van	Minor transportation accident – Truck collision or overturn with waste containers that may rupture, or a collision and overturn with a minor fire (½ hour or less) involving one Type A waste container.	
Moderate accidents with closed van		
Severe accidents with closed van	Severe transportation accidents – Truck collision or overturn and a major fire (1 hour or longer) involving 40 Type A waste containers.	

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21 22

24 25 26

27

23

33 34 35

36

37

32

 compression or buckling of stored assemblies from the impact of a dropped heavy load (such as a fuel cask)

• loss of neutron absorber plates that separate the stored assemblies.

The results of the staff's analysis is presented in Section I.2.

(b) These accidents were not included in the 1988 GEIS (NRC 1988).

The accidents and malfunctions considered in licensing documents were divided into subgroupings within five main categories:

- fuel-related accidents, which center around the storage of fuel in the spent fuel pool
- other radiological, non-fuel-related accidents, which include onsite accidents related to decontamination or dismantlement activities (e.g., material-handling accidents or accidental cutting of contaminated piping), or storage activities (e.g., fires or ruptures of liquid waste tanks)
- external events, which include aircraft crashes, floods, tornadoes and extreme winds, earthquakes, volcanic activity, forest fires, lightning storms, freezing, and intruder events
- offsite events, which consist solely of transportation accidents that occur offsite
- hazardous, nonradiological, chemical-related accidents, with the potential for injury to the offsite public either directly from the accident, or as a result of further actions initiated by the accident.

Table I-3 contains the list of accidents as described in the licensing documentation for each of the 20 plants reviewed. The accidents are organized under the five category headings shown

1

Table I-2. Accidents Considered but Not Evaluated in Smith et al. (1978) and Oak et al. (1980)

3 4 **Pressurized Water Reactors Boiling Water Reactors** 5 Accidents involving fuel - Extensively studied and 6 considered in other references. Not unique to or 7 amplified by decommissioning. 8 Temporary loss of local airborne containment 9 control during jackhammer scarfing of concrete 10 surfaces - Manual operation, so the loss of local 11 airborne containment is readily apparent to operator. 12 Operation is suspended before significant release 13 occurs. 14 **Dropping of contaminated concrete rubble** – Causing 15 fine particles to become suspended in air. Quantity of such material is assumed to be small since most of the 16 17 readily suspendible particles are removed during routine 18 operations. 19 Dropping a concrete slab during placement in onsite 20 retrievable waste storage - Precast concrete slab 21 used for top shield and sealing surface is dropped 6 m 22 (20 ft) while it is being placed. Surface particles 23 become airborne, but do not increase routine release 24 significantly and are not considered further in this study. 25 Ion-exchange resin accidents - Assumes no danger of combustion. Handling accidents appear likely, but would lead to little airborne release because of liquid nature of wastes involved. 26 Temporary loss of services, such as water, power, Loss of services, such as water supply, electrical 27 or airflow - Constitutes a lesser hazard for airborne power, or air flow - Constitutes a lesser magnitude release than other postulated accidents, so no further 28 releases than other postulated accidents. analysis was made. 29 Natural phenomena - Reference PWR is designed to Natural phenomena - Reference BWR is designed to 30 withstand effects of natural phenomena. It is assumed withstand the most severe natural phenomena recorded 31 that this structural integrity is preserved during for the site with appropriate margins for uncertainties. decommissioning as long as required for safety. These Events are of low probability, and impact is less than the 32 are low-probability events, e.g., floods, earthquakes, impacts calculated for operating BWRs. Includes 33 34 tornadoes, and high winds. floods, earthquakes, tornadoes, and high winds. 35 Aircraft crashes - Probability is low, risk is not Aircraft crashes - Probability is low and risk of damage 36 escalated by dismantlement operations. is low and not escalated by dismantlement operations. 37 Man-caused events - Covers wide spectrum of magnitude, ranging from releases induced by casual trespassers to releases induced by armed terrorists. Detailed analysis beyond scope of study.

 Table I-3.
 Comprehensive Accident List

3	Fuel-Related Accidents	Nuclear Plant
1	Cask or Heavy Load Handling Accident	
5	Cask drop into spent fuel pool	Haddam Neck
3	Spent fuel shipping cask drop in the spent fuel pool	Maine Yankee
7	Spent fuel cask drop	San Onofre, Unit 1
3	Shipping cask or heavy load drop in fuel element storage well	La Crosse
)	Heavy load drop (equivalent to spent fuel cask drop) into pool	Big Rock Point
)	Drop of heavy object (cask) into spent fuel pool	Indian Point, Unit 1
	Heavy load drop (equivalent to spent fuel cask drop) into spent fuel pool	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
	Heavy load drop	Fort St. Vrain
3	Spent Fuel-Handling Accident	
ļ	Fuel assembly drop	Haddam Neck
;	Fuel-handling accident	Trojan
	Fuel-handling accident	San Onofre, Unit 1
•	Fuel-handling accident	Rancho Seco
	Spent fuel handling accident	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
)	Spent fuel handling event	Yankee Rowe
	Fuel-assembly handling accident in the spent fuel pool	Maine Yankee
	Spent fuel handling accident in fuel element storage well	La Crosse
	Loss of Spent Fuel Pool Cooling	
3	Loss of spent fuel pool cooling water (caused by loss of offsite power)	Big Rock Point
	Loss of fuel pool cooling	Indian Point, Unit 1
	Loss of spent fuel pool cooling water	Yankee Rowe
	Loss of fuel element storage well cooling	La Crosse
	Loss of prestressed concrete reactor vessel shielding water (after fuel has been removed)	Fort St. Vrain
	Loss of spent fuel pool decay heat-removal capability	Maine Yankee
	Loss of spent fuel decay heat-removal without concurrent spent fuel pool inventory loss	Trojan
	Failure of auxiliary electrical systems related to fuel pool cooling	Dresden, Unit 1
	Loss of offsite power; limited loss of spent fuel pool cooling	San Onofre, Unit 1
	Nonmechanistic loss of cooling and airborne release	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
	Loss of Water from the Spent Fuel Pool	
	Loss of spent fuel pool water level	Big Rock Point
	Loss of spent fuel pool water (nonmechanistic; earthquake beyond design basis)	Haddam Neck
	Loss of spent fuel pool water	Indian Point, Unit 1
	Loss of spent fuel pool inventory (loss of heat sink or by inadvertent siphoning)	Maine Yankee
	Loss of spent fuel pool water from pool rupture of unknown origin	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
	Loss of cooling water	Yankee Rowe
	Fuel pool drain-down	Dresden, Unit 1
	Fuel element storage well system pipe break	La Crosse
	Loss of spent fuel pool decay heat-removal capability with concurrent spent fuel pool inventory loss	Trojan

Table I-3. (contd)

_

	Fuel-Related Accidents (contd)	Nuclear Plant
Loss of	Offsite Power	
Loss o	offsite power (resulting in loss of spent fuel cooling)	Big Rock Point
Loss o	offsite power (resulting in loss of water from the pool)	La Crosse
Loss o	offsite power (resulting in loss of spent fuel pool cooling)	Rancho Seco
Loss o	power	Fort St. Vrain
Tempo	rary loss of offsite power (crane or hoist failure)	Trojan
100% F	uel Failure	
100%	uel failure	Indian Point, Unit 1
100%	uel failure	Shoreham
Simulta	neous failure of fuel assemblies	Dresden, Unit 1
Critical	ty	
Inadve	tent criticality (misplaced assembly in pool)	Maine Yankee
Critica	ty, stored spent fuel rearranged from seismic or other events	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
	Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials (Non-Fuel-Related)	
Decont	mination-Related Accidents	
Spray	elease during in situ decontamination of systems	Saxton
Gross	eak or accident during in situ decontamination (spray and liquid)	Trojan
Decon	amination of liquid spill	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
Decon	amination events	Yankee Rowe
Accide	ntal spraying of concentrated contamination with high-pressure spray	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
Conce	ntrated contamination spray	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
Radioa	tive Material (Non-fuel) Handling Accidents	
Waste	container drop	Pathfinder
Waste	container drop and rupture (containing activated concrete rubble)	Shoreham
Droppi	ng of filters or packages of particulate material	Trojan
Droppi	ng of contaminated components	Trojan
Droppi	ng of concrete rubble	Fort St. Vrain
Droppi	ng of concrete rubble	Trojan
Packa	ing events	Yankee Rowe
Materia	lls-handling event	Yankee Rowe
Steam	generator load drop inside containment	Trojan
Droppi	ng the reactor pressure vessel	Pathfinder
Droppi	ng steam generator primary module	Fort St. Vrain
Steam	generator load drop outside of containment	Trojan
Disman	tlement-Related Accidents	
Contar	nination release during accidental cutting of contaminated piping	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
Contar	nination release during accidental break of contaminated piping	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
	engineering controls during dismantlement of reactor cavity	Big Rock Point
	nination release during dismantlement of main coolant system loop	Yankee
Disma	ntlement of RCS and safety injection piping without or with loss of local ineering controls	Saxton

Table I-3. (contd)

1	
2	

Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials (Non-Fuel-Related) (contd)	Nuclear Plant
Absence of blasting mat during removal of activated concrete	Trojan
Loss of HEPA Filters	
Rupture of contamination-control envelope; release of contamination on HEPA filter	Shoreham
HEPA filter failure	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
Loss of integrity of portable filtered ventilation enclosure	Trojan
Pressure-surge damage to filters during blasting of activated concrete bioshield	Trojan
Temporary loss of local airborne contamination control during blasting	Trojan
Temporary loss of local airborne contamination control during scarfing of contaminated concrete surfaces with jackhammer	Trojan
Loss of contamination-control envelope during oxyacetylene cutting of the reactor-vessel shell	Trojan
Radioactive Gas Waste System Leaks	
Leaks and failures in radioactive waste gas system in radwaste decay tanks	Maine Yankee
Leak or failure in radioactive waste gas system	Trojan
Radioactive Liquid Waste Releases	
Liquid waste tanks rupture	Fermi, Unit 1
Storage tank rupture	Three Mile Island, Unit
Liquid waste storage vessel failure	Saxton
Postulated radioactive releases due to liquid tank failures	Trojan
Liquid radioactive tank release	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
Liquid radioactive waste release to lake through cracks in building, earthquake-induced	Fermi, Unit 1
Rupture of spent fuel pool, contents released to bay	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
Liquid waste discharge pumped to river without sampling	La Crosse
Leaks and failures in radioactive liquid waste system	Maine Yankee
Condensate storage tank contents pumped into ground during in-service leak test (actual event report)	Dresden, Unit 1
Containment Breach (Open Penetration to Containment)	
Containment vessel breach, subsequent loss of contents to air/water	Saxton
Open penetration – unfiltered pathway from containment	Three Mile Island, Unit
Spent Resin Accidents	
Spent resin handling accident (exothermic reaction during dewatering)	Haddam Neck
Dropped resin vessel during removal from containment building	Saxton
Low-level waste storage accident (resin liner drop)	Maine Yankee
Release of resins from makeup and purification demineralizer	Three Mile Island, Unit
Storage of spent resins	Big Rock Point
Explosion and/or fire in ion exchange resins	Trojan
Vacuum Filter Bag Ruptures	•
Vacuum filter bag rupture during decontamination of spent fuel pool floor	Saxton
Vacuum filter bag rupture during cleaning of the Reactor Building floor	Shoreham
Vacuum canister failure	Three Mile Island, Unit

Table I-3. (contd)

3	Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials (Non-Fuel-Related) (contd)	Nuclear Plant
4	Loss of Electric Power	
5	Loss of offsite power	Yankee Rowe
6	Loss of offsite power	Trojan
7	Loss of electric power with unknown scenario	Pathfinder
8	Loss of offsite power affecting HEPA filters, etc.	Saxton
9	Loss of Compressed Air	
0	Temporary loss of compressed air	Trojan
1	Loss of compressed air	Yankee Rowe
2	Fire	
3	Fire	Dresden, Unit 1
4	Fire	San Onofre, Unit 1
5	Fire	Fort St. Vrain
6	Fire	Indian Point, Unit 1
7	Fire events (primarily those that could impact SFP cooling)	Big Rock Point
8	Fire inside of containment	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
9	Fire inside stairwell	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
)	Fire in D-rings	Three Mile Island, Unit 2
1	Fire in reactor building or fuel handling building	Pathfinder
2	Fire in boiler building	Pathfinder
3	Fire in storage facilities	Yankee Rowe
1	Fire in intermodel container of waste	Yankee Rowe
;	Fire in combustible waste stored in yard	Saxton
3	Fire in low-level radioactive waste storage building	Trojan
	Combustible waste fire in 208-L (55-gal) drum container	Shoreham
	Contaminated clothing or combustible waste fire	Trojan
	Contaminated sweeping compound fire (sawdust with oil and other additives, used to enhance collection of loose surface contaminants)	Shoreham
	Fire or other catastrophic event, initiator for residual sodium release	Fermi, Unit 1
	Explosion	
,	Explosion of liquid propane gas leaked from front-end loader in containment	Trojan
	Liquid propane gas explosion on front-end loader	Shoreham
5	Liquid propane gas explosion caused by an accidental leak on front-end loader used in containment building	Saxton
7 3	Oxyacetylene explosion in the containment building while cutting reactor coolant system piping and release of HEPA filter contents within portable enclosure	Saxton
)	Oxyacetylene explosion and release of HEPA filter contents	Shoreham
)	Explosion of oxyacetylene during segmenting of reactor vessel shell	Trojan
	Explosion event inside vapor container	Yankee Rowe
2	Explosion inside area warehouse	Yankee Rowe
3	Explosion of large fuel-oil storage tanks	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3
Ļ	Detonation of unused explosives in reactor cavity	Trojan
5	Sodium interaction with water caused by water inflow through a crack in a tank	Fermi, Unit 1

Table I-3. (contd)

	Accidents Involving Radioactive Materials (Non-Fuel-Related) (contd)	Nuclear Plant
	nsite Transportation Accidents	
C	nsite transportation accident	Yankee Rowe
_	Accidents Initiated in External Events	
	ircraft Crashes	
	Aircraft hazards	Big Rock Point
	Aircraft crashes	Trojan
	Aircraft impact	Yankee Rowe
F	loods	
	Flood	San Onofre, Unit 1
	Flood	Yankee Rowe
	Flood	Pathfinder
	Flooding	Saxton
	External flooding	Big Rock Point
	External flooding	Trojan
	Site flooding	Dresden, Unit 1
	Site flooding	Indian Point, Unit 1
	Site flooding	Peach Bottom, Unit 1
	Flood, seiches, and tsunamis	Shoreham
L	ow Water	
	Probable minimum water level, from negative lake surge or sieche	Big Rock Point
٧	/ind	-
	Tornadoes and extreme winds	Pathfinder
	Tornadoes and extreme winds	Trojan
	Tornadoes and extreme wind	Yankee Rowe
	Tornadoes and extreme wind	Saxton
	Tornadoes and wind	Big Rock Point
	Wind and tornadoes	La Crosse
	Wind and tornado missiles	San Onofre, Unit 1
	Tornados and hurricanes	Shoreham
	Natural disaster, tornado	Fort St. Vrain
Е	arthquakes	
	Earthquake	Big Rock Point
	Earthquake	Indian Point, Unit 1
	Earthquake	Pathfinder
	Earthquake	Trojan
	- Earthquake	Saxton
	Earthquake	San Onofre, Unit 1
	Earthquake	Shoreham
	Earthquakes	Yankee Rowe
	Seismic events	Dresden, Unit 1
	Seismic event	La Crosse

Table I-3. (contd)

•
,
-
•

Accidents Initiated in External Events (contd)	Nuclear Plant	
Volcanoes		
Volcanic activity	Trojan	
Lightning		
Lightning	Trojan	
Lightning	Saxton	
Lightning	Yankee Rowe	
Forest Fire		
Forest fires	Yankee Rowe	
Forest or brush fire	Saxton	
Freezing Temperatures		
Freezing temperatures, loss of plant heating	Big Rock Point	
Freezing temperatures (actual accident)	Dresden, Unit 1	
Physical Security		
Intruder event	Saxton	
Physical security breach	Shoreham	
Physical security breach	Pathfinder	
Offsite Transportation-Related Accidents		
Offsite transportation accident	Shoreham	
Offsite transportation accident	Yankee Rowe	
Transportation accident	Three Mile Island, Unit 2	
Truck carrying radwaste – fire	Pathfinder	
Truck and two intermodel containers, transportation accident with fire	Saxton	
Reactor pressure vessel railroad accident and fire	Pathfinder	
Reactor pressure vessel in the river during transportation by rail	Pathfinder	
Offsite radiological event (shipment of radioactive materials)	Saxton	
Hazardous Nonradiological Chemical Events		
Toxic chemical event (initiation for material handling event)	Saxton	
Toxic chemical event	Trojan	
Chemical combustion (from sodium-water interaction) and dispersal	Fermi, Unit 1	
Toxic chemical event, initiator for fuel-handling event	Trojan	

above and under subgroup headings that describe a specific type of accident, e.g., "cask or heavy load handling accidents" or "spent resin accidents." Each of the plants described the accidents they evaluated in a specific way, which may or may not be identical to the subgroup headings. For example, Big Rock Point considered a "loss of spent fuel pool cooling," while the Trojan Nuclear Plant described a similar accident as a "loss of spent fuel decay heat removal without concurrent spent fuel pool inventory loss." The exact descriptions given by the plants were used when available. In some cases, however, a short description was not available, and it was necessary to paraphrase or summarize from a longer discussion of the accident.

Categorizing accidents is not a straightforward process. Frequently, an initiating event causes more than one type of accident. For example, the loss of electric power could cause the loss of spent fuel cooling, resulting in the potential for fuel failure and subsequent offsite release. The same loss of electric power could result in a crane or hoist failure, resulting in a heavy object being dropped either into the spent fuel pool with subsequent failure of fuel cladding, or in a highly contaminated object other than fuel being dropped onto an unyielding surface, causing the release of contamination. The same loss of electric power could affect the ventilation system and result in the loss of high-efficiency particulate air filter (HEPA) filtration and subsequent release of contamination. Alternatively, a single accident could be caused by multiple types of initiating events. For example, the loss of spent fuel pool coolant could be caused by the loss of offsite power, a break in a pipe (resulting from cutting the wrong pipe), or an external event (such as damage to the pipes from freezing or rupture of the pool during an earthquake) causing the release of the water. Because an effort was made to categorize the accidents as they were described by the licensing documents for each plant, a "loss of offsite power accident." In some cases, a single plant would analyze both the loss of offsite power and the loss of spent fuel pool cooling as separate accidents, whereas they both concluded with the same result.

All accidents identified by licensees were included in Table I-3, even if they were just considered without a detailed discussion or analysis of the consequences. A number of accidents were initially considered, but were determined without further analysis to fall under one of the following categories:

• an accident that is not possible or probable – For example, a licensee might consider an aircraft impact as an accident, but state in their documentation that the probability of occurrence is low and, therefore, the accident is not analyzed further.

an accident may occur, but not result in any type of consequence – For example, during consideration of a flood, the licensee might state that "flooding events do not result in significant radiological release; therefore, public health and safety are not adversely affected," or in the case of a material-handling event, make a statement such as, "compliance with management programs and quality assurance plan ensure that the probability of occurrence and the consequences do not significantly affect the public health and safety."

an accident may occur, but mitigative actions can be taken before any radioactive
material is released offsite – For example, during consideration of a seismic event, a
statement is made that the facility was designed to accommodate the initiating event,
and no damage resulting in a release would occur.

an accident may occur, but with minimal offsite dose consequences – For example, loss
of cooling for a spent fuel pool where the fuel has cooled to a level that would not result

in the release of activity for a number of days and where mitigative actions could be taken to ensure that there would be no release of radioactive materials.

Although these accidents were not analyzed in depth, they were considered and, therefore, are included in Table I-3.

Most licensees did not describe the entire scenario that would cause the accident. For example, most documents that discussed the analysis of the release of liquid radioactive waste did not provide an indication of the event that caused the rupture of a liquid waste tank or storage tank. Therefore, it was a simple decision to place this accident in the group of "Liquid Radwaste Releases." However, some licensees did provide a complete scenario, such as a description that the tanks located in the basement were assumed to have been cracked during an earthquake, allowing fluid to leak into the earth and then into an aquifer, finally settling in a nearby lake. This accident could have been grouped by the initiating event (an earthquake) or the consequence (a release of liquid radioactive waste). In such cases, the initiators (or the consequences) are also shown in Table I-3.

In other cases, the accident could easily be placed under more than one heading. For example, one licensee (Trojan Nuclear Plant) analyzed an explosion and/or fire in the ion exchange resins. This accident could have been included under "Explosions," "Fires," or "Spent Resin Accidents." In this case, the last choice was selected. Another example would be the "oxyacetylene explosion and release of HEPA filter contents," which was analyzed by the licensees for the Saxton, Shoreham, and Trojan Nuclear Plants. This accident could have been included under either "Explosions" or "Loss of HEPA filters." In this case, the first choice was selected.

 In some cases, the descriptions provide much more information regarding the accident than they do in other cases. For instance, under the heading "Fire," five of the licensees did not give any more detailed description other than they were analyzing a "fire" or "fire events." Other licensees described the location of the fire (inside stairwells, inside boiler buildings, etc.), and the remainder discussed the items that were combusted (contaminated clothing or waste, or contaminated sweeping compound).

Some of the descriptions of the accidents did not give any details regarding the scenario that resulted in offsite dose consequences. These accidents were described as nonmechanistic, i.e., they had no associated scenarios or initiators. For example, three licensees evaluated the simultaneous failure of 100% of the fuel assemblies in the spent fuel pool but gave no reason for the simultaneous failure.

The fuel-related accidents centered around the storage of the spent fuel in the spent fuel pool. The most common fuel-related accidents analyzed include the loss of spent fuel pool cooling (10 facilities), the loss of water in the spent fuel pool (9 facilities), cask or heavy handling

(8 facilities), and the spent fuel handling (8 facilities). The accidents listed under "Loss of Offsite Power Accidents" also result in the loss of cooling, the loss of water from the pool, or a handling accident.

The non-fuel-related accidents center around decontamination, dismantlement, or storage-type activities. Decontamination-related activities include *in situ* decontamination and rupture of vacuum-filter bags. Accidents from these activities could include fires that occur in contaminated clothing or sweeping compounds. Dismantlement-related activities include accidental cutting or breaking of contaminated piping or breaching of containment, loss of HEPA filters during cutting or blasting operations, and material-handling accidents, such as dropping of contaminated components, concrete rubble, or spent resins. Dismantlement activities also include the potential for explosions either from front-end loaders or while using oxyacetylene during dismantlement activities. Storage-type activities include storage of non-fuel wastes that could result in liquid waste tank ruptures and explosive gas buildup in ion exchange resins. There is also the potential for fires in buildings or in waste stored inside the facility.

 The most common non-fuel-related accidents that involved radioactive material were the fires (20 total accidents from 12 different plants). A fire may be one of the more important accidents to consider for a plant in decommissioning because of the large loading of combustible material resulting from the amount of low-level radioactive waste in the form of wipes, clothing, etc. Fire events included generic listings of "fire," specific listings of locations where the fire might occur (in the boiler building or low-level waste storage buildings) or the material the fire involves (contaminated clothing or contaminated sweeping compounds).

The second most common non-fuel-related accident related to the handling of radioactive (nonfuel) material such as waste containers, filters, concrete rubble, contaminated components, or larger items such as reactor pressure vessels or steam generators (13 accidents identified from 5 separate plants). The third most common radiation-related (non-fuel) accident was from explosions, which comprise 11 accidents from 5 separate plants. These accidents included explosion of liquid propane gas from front-end loaders being used for dismantlement activities and oxyacetylene explosions during dismantlement, which released HEPA filter contents, or during the reactor vessel shell. The fourth most common non-fuel-related accident is the release of liquid radioactive waste from storage tanks. The majority of these accidents resulted from the rupture or failure of a tank storing liquid radioactive waste. However, one of the postulated accidents occurs during the inadvertent pumping or transfer of the liquid radioactive waste to the river without sampling. Another of the postulated accidents in this group was the rupture of the spent fuel pool, with the contents released to a nearby body of water. This accident looked at the offsite dose consequences of the contaminated water being released to the environment and did not consider the resultant effect on the spent fuel remaining in the now-drained pool (considered a separate accident).

The licensees considered external events, including aircraft crashes into the facility's buildings, floods, low water levels, wind, earthquakes, volcanoes, lightning, forest fires, freezing tempera-

tures, and physical security (intruder-initiated events). Earthquakes or seismic events (11 accidents from 10 plants), site flooding (10 accidents from 10 plants) and tornado or extreme wind (10 accidents from 9 plants) were the most commonly cited.

There is only one subgrouping of transportation-related accidents. Eight potential transportation-related accidents were discussed, ranging from transportation of low-level waste to transportation of large components, such as the reactor pressure vessel.

There were four accidents related to nonradiological, chemical releases that were found in the licensing-basis documentation. Three of the four accidents would result in an offsite release of toxic chemicals, and the fourth would result in a chemical event that would incapacitate the operator of a crane inside the plant, thus initiating a material-handling event.

I.2 Consequences of Potential Accidents

 In addition to compiling a comprehensive list of accidents and malfunctions at permanently shutdown facilities, the potential offsite dose consequences were evaluated. The evaluation of dose consequences is necessary for understanding the risk to the public from these accidents. Compared to the potential consequences from an accident at an operating facility, most of the accident consequences for a permanently shutdown facility are small. This section addresses accident consequences both from the accidents obtained from NRC-sponsored research and the accidents found in the licensing documentation.

Table I-4 presents the highest doses in each of four categories of radiological accidents as obtained from licensing-basis documents. The highest doses result from postulated fuel-related accidents and radioactive-material-related accidents. All accidents that were reviewed used conservative assumptions to calculate the offsite dose. For example, some licensees analyzed accidents that considered the 100% failure of fuel by using assumptions that were non-mechanistic to determine the estimated dose.

Information obtained from licensing-basis documents for the fuel-related accidents showed that the highest doses were from the cask or heavy load handling accidents, the accidents that assumed a 100% fuel failure, and the spent fuel handling accidents. Although some of the licensing-basis documents gave calculated doses to the offsite population from the loss of water in the spent fuel pool (Maine Yankee, 2.3 mSv [0.23 rem]; Fort St. Vrain, 0.35 mSv [0.035 rem]) and from the loss of cooling capability to the spent fuel pool (Maine Yankee, 2.2E-5 mSv [0.002 mrem]), the majority of the documents stated that these accidents would result in no appreciable offsite dose because the accident could be mitigated before offsite-dose consequences could occur.

In addition to the licensing-basis documents reviewed, the staff's report *Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants* report (NRC 2001) provides an analysis of the consequences of the spent fuel pool accident risk. As discussed

Table I-4. Highest Offsite Doses Calculated for Postulated Accidents in Licensing-Basis Documents

Accident Description	Nuclear Plant	Offsite Whole- Body Dose, rem
Fuel-Related Accidents	Tradical Flam	200, 10m
Cask drop into spent fuel pool	Haddam Neck	0.418
Loss of spent fuel pool inventory (loss of heat sink or by inadvertent siphoning)	Maine Yankee	0.23
Shipping cask or heavy load drop into fuel element storage well	La Crosse	0.186
Loss of prestressed concrete reactor vessel shielding water (after fuel has been removed)	Fort St. Vrain	0.035
100% fuel failure	Indian Point, Unit 1	0.027
Simultaneous failure of fuel assemblies	Dresden, Unit 1	0.016
Spent fuel handling accident	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3	0.013
Fuel-handling accident	Rancho Seco	0.01
Heavy load drop	Fort St. Vrain	0.007
Fuel assembly drop	Haddam Neck	0.0026
Radioactive Material-Related Accidents	(Non-Fuel)	
Spent resin handling accident (exothermic reaction during dewatering)	Haddam Neck	0.96
Explosion inside vapor container	Yankee Rowe	0.44
Radioactive liquid waste system leaks and failure	Maine Yankee	0.23
Materials-handling event	Yankee Rowe	0.16
Fire	Fort St. Vrain	0.12
Fire in intermodal container of waste	Yankee Rowe	0.1
Fire in D-rings	Three Mile Island, Unit 2	0.049
Decontamination events	Yankee Rowe	0.039
Liquid radioactive waste released to lake through cracks in building (earthquake-induced)	Fermi, Unit 1	0.02364
Release of resins from makeup and purification demineralizer	Three Mile Island, Unit 2	0.02
External-Events Initiated Accide	nts	
Natural disaster, tornado	Fort St. Vrain	0.001
Physical security breach	Pathfinder	<0.000001
Offsite Transportation Acciden	ts	
Reactor pressure vessel railroad accident and fire	Pathfinder	0.00014
Truck carrying radioactive waste – fire	Pathfinder	0.000005
Reactor pressure vessel drop into river during transportation by rail	Pathfinder	0.000001
Transportation accident	Three Mile Island, Unit 2	<0.000001
To convert from rem to sievert, multiply by 0.01.		

previously, earlier analyses in NUREG/CR-4982, Severe Accidents in Spent Fuel Pools in Support of Generic Issue 82, (Sailor et al. 1987) and NUREG/CR-6451, A Safety and Regulatory Assessment of Generic BWR and PWR Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Plants (Travis et al. 1997) included a limited analysis of the offsite consequences of a severe spent fuel pool accident occurring up to 90 days after the last discharge of spent fuel into the

spent fuel pool. These analyses showed that the consequences of a spent fuel accident could be comparable to those for a severe reactor accident. As part of its effort to develop generic, risk-informed requirements for decommissioning, the staff performed a further analysis of the offsite radiological consequences of beyond-design-basis spent fuel pool accidents using fission product inventories at 30 and 90 days and 2, 5, and 10 yrs. The results of the study indicate that the risk at spent fuel pools is low and well within the Commission's Quantitative Health Objectives. The risk is low because of the very low likelihood of a zirconium fire even though the consequences from a zirconium fire could be serious.

For the "Other Radioactive Material-Related" accidents (nonfuel), the accident subgroup with the highest estimated offsite dose was 0.96-rem total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) for a spent resin handling accident. The spent resin handling accident is only slightly below the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Protective Action Guide (PAGs). Other associated accident scenarios included handling accidents occurring during dewatering, releases from makeup and purification demineralizers, and the dropping of liners. Other categories with significant estimated doses include accidental releases of radioactive liquid wastes, radioactive material (nonfuel) handling accidents, explosions, and fires. However, there was a significant variation in doses within each subcategory. For example, for the radioactive liquid waste release accidents, the estimated doses range from a high of 2.3 mSv (0.23 rem) TEDE for a leak in the radioactive liquid waste system (Maine Yankee) to an estimate of "no dose" for the uncontrolled liquid waste discharge via a tank pumped directly to the river (Humboldt Bay 3).

The external event accidents (aircraft crashes, forest fires, floods, freezing temperatures, low water levels, lightning, earthquakes, volcanoes, and extreme winds and tornadoes) were in all but one case determined by the licensee's analyses either to be of a very low probability of occurrence, to have no dose consequences, to have doses that were bounded by other accidents, or to have doses that were below the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) PAGs (EPA 1991). Most of the time, it was indicated that the doses would be significantly less than the EPA PAGs. The one case where an offsite dose was calculated was a tornado event (Fort St. Vrain), which was estimated to result in a whole body, 2-hour dose of 0.0058 mSv (0.0058 rem) and an organ dose (lung) of 0.17 mSv (0.017 rem).

Doses from offsite transportation accidents were very small, ranging from a "no dose" estimate to an estimated 0.0014 mSv (0.00014 rem) for a reactor pressure vessel that was involved in a railroad accident (Pathfinder).

The accident consequences during decommissioning are somewhat time-dependent since some of the radionuclide inventory significantly decreases shortly following shutdown, and then continues to decrease at a slower rate during the entire decommissioning period. This is most pronounced for the fuel-related accidents since some of the radionuclides present in the fuel, such as iodine-131, have a significant impact on the severity of the dose, but have a short half-life and will decay to negligible amounts within a few months following shutdown.

I.3 Correlation of Activities with Potential Accidents During Decommissioning

Activities and hazards at reactor sites following permanent shutdown and defueling may be different from those routinely experienced at an operating reactor; however, there are similarities in decommissioning activities and the activities that take place during refueling and maintenance outages.

 Table I-5 lists the activities that characterize the type of actions that are being taken at sites both in DECON and SAFSTOR and compares the activities to the accidents listed in Table I-3, "Comprehensive Accident List." This list of activities was obtained from documentation from the sites that have recently completed, or have recently started, the decommissioning process. The list is divided into activities performed during DECON and SAFSTOR. The decontamination and dismantlement activities were included for those sites that are in SAFSTOR but are performing incremental decontamination and dismantlement. Under DECON, the activities are categorized as having to do with construction; decontamination; contamination control; dismantlement; removal of the vessel, internals, and other large components and systems; radioactive waste management; spent fuel pool; soil remediation; and the final radiation survey. For activities that take place during SAFSTOR, activities are simply listed as taking place in preparation for or during SAFSTOR.

For each activity, an assessment was made to determine the accident type that might occur during that activity. In the right-hand column of Table I-5, an associated accident is given, using the subgroup heading used in Table I-3. If an activity was determined not to have the potential for an accident, then it is described as "no accident." From the comparison of activities to accidents, it was determined that there would be no accident of greater consequence than the accidents already identified.

Activities	Associated Accidents
DECON	
Construction and Establishment	
Possible establishment of site construction power site	No accident
Possible establishment of monitoring stations separate from the control room	No accident
Possible construction of independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI)	Cask or heavy load handling
Possible establishment of spent fuel pool cooling system that is independent of existing plant systems	Loss of spent fuel cooling
Possible construction of decommissioning support building and utilities	No accident
Possible establishment of radioanalytical facilities	No accident
Possible design and fabrication of special shielding and contamination-control envelopes	No accident
Possible establishment of radiological monitoring stations	No accident
In situ chemical decontamination of primary coolant system	Decontamination-related accidents
Decontamination of outside of large components, facility surfaces, components, and piping surfaces	Decontamination-related accidents
Vacuuming	Vacuum filter bag ruptures
Ultra-high-pressure water lancing	Decontamination-related accidents
Abrasive grit blasting	Decontamination-related accidents
Manual decontamination techniques (handwriting), wet mopping, scrubbing.	Decontamination-related accidents
Painting or applying coatings to stabilize contamination	No accident
Contamination Control	
Bag items to prohibit contamination spread	Fire
Dismantlement	
Remove contaminated piping and tubing - cut and install covers and plugs	Dismantlement-related accidents
Remove walls	Radioactive material (nonfuel) handling accidents
Demolish buildings	Radioactive material (nonfuel) handling accidents
Concrete removal with impact hammers, saw cutting, and diamond wire cutting	Radioactive material (nonfuel) handling accidents
Abrasive water jet cutting (scabbier) for concrete.	Decontamination-related accidents
CO ₂ blasters for concrete	Decontamination-related accidents

1	Table I-5.	(contd)
•		

3	Activities	Associated Accidents
4	DECON (contd)	,1000014104 /1001401110
5	Metal component dismantlement	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related
6	- saw cutting	accidents; dismantlement-related
7	- power band saws	accidents
8	- diamond wire saws	
9	- machining	
10	- mechanical shearing	
11	- manual disassembly	
12	- abrasive shell cutting	
13	- OD milling machines	
14	- torch cutting (thermal methods melt or vaporize surfaces of materia	als
15	being cut)	
16	Rigging used to remove heavy or awkward sections	Radioactive material (nonfuel)
17	Small-diameter piping	related accidents; dismantlement-related accidents
18	Filings collected in catch basins and vacuumed, as needed	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents; vacuum filter bag rupture
19	Removal of Reactor Pressure Vessel and Internals	
20	Piping and instrumentation lines cut; interferences removed	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents; dismantlement-related accidents
21	Decontaminated, segmented, packaged, and shipped offsite –	Decontamination-related accidents;
22	segmenting included underwater semi-automatic plasma arc and	radioactive material (nonfuel) related
23	metal disintegration machining equipment	accidents; dismantlement-related accidents
24	Remove intact or segment	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents; dismantlement-related accidents
25	Intact removal requires	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related
26	- opening in building	accidents; dismantlement-related
27	- grouting of openings created by cutting operations	accidents; containment breach accidents
28	- removal from containment and placement in lay down area	
29	- removal of internals	
30	- injection of grout into reactor vessel	
31	- installation of welded closure caps on all openings	
32	- installation of structural members, as necessary	
33	- potential welding around reactor vessel.	
34	Removal of Other Large Components (Steam Generators and Pre	essurize)
35	Intact removal or partial segmentation	Dismantlement-related accidents; radioactive material (nonfuel) handling accidents

Table I-5. (contd)

Activities	Associated Accidents
DECON (contd)	
Cut piping attachments	Dismantlement-related accidents; radioactive material (nonfuel) handling accidents
Install temporary supports, cut hanger rods	
Decontaminate external surfaces	Decontamination-related accidents
Seal-weld openings	
Move vessels horizontally for lifting through removable hatch or new opening in concrete building	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents
Grout if required or segment greater than class C (GTCC) components for storage with the spent fuel	Dismantlement-related accidents; radioactive material (fuel- and nonfuel-related accidents)
Reactor Coolant System	
Decontaminate, segment, and dispose of RCS and other larger-bore piping	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents; dismantlement-related accidents
Remove and package asbestos insulation	Nonradioactive hazardous material accident
Remove turbine control oil	Fire
Remove nonradioactive materials, including fuel oil, lubricating oil, 1,1,1-tricholorethane, laboratory chemicals, lead, mercury, paint, battery acid, asbestos	Fire; nonradioactive hazardous materia accidents
Radwaste Management	
Ship radioactive materials	Transportation accidents
Ship mixed wastes to approved disposal sites	Transportation accidents
Spent Fuel Pool	·
Remove spent fuel and GTCC waste	Cask or heavy load handling accident; spent fuel pool handling accident
Decontaminate and dismantle spent fuel facility after all spent fuel has been removed	Decontamination-related accidents; dismantlement-related accidents; radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents
Soil remediation	Radioactive material (non-fuel) related accidents
Final radiation survey	No accidents
SAFSTOR	
Preparation for SAFSTOR	
Assess functional requirements for all plant systems, structures, and components for all phases of decommissioning	None

Table I-5. (contd)
--------------	--------

2	rabio Fo. (coma)	
3	Activities	Associated Accidents
4	SAFSTOR (contd)	
5	Deactivate systems; dispose of nonessential structures and systems	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents
6	Drain and flush plant systems	Decontamination-related accidents
7	Decontaminate, as necessary	Decontamination-related accidents
8 9	Either lay-up or isolate plant systems, structures, and components no longer required	No accidents
10	Remove filter elements and demineralizer resin beds	Spent resin accidents
11	Wet-mopping of clean areas	No accidents
12 13	Process, package, and ship liquid and solid radioactive waste generated during plant closure activities	Radioactive material (nonfuel) related accidents; radioactive liquid waste-release accidents; transportation accidents
14 15	Install permanent safety-related electrical power supply to spent fuel pool cooling system	Spent fuel pool cooling accidents
16 17	Establish a permanent reactor coolant system vent path (permanent passive venting of RCS to containment atmosphere)	Loss of HEPA filters
18	Establish a permanent containment vent path	Loss of HEPA filters
19	Removal of nitrogen gas cylinders	No accidents
20	Reconfigure the instrument/service air system	No accidents
21	Make electrical modifications required to de-energize equipment	No accidents
22	Remove dedicated safe-shutdown diesel and generator	No accidents
23	Perform an assessment of current radiological conditions	No accidents
24	SAFSTOR Activities and Tasks	
25	24-hour guard force	No accidents
26	Maintain environmental and radiation monitoring program	No accidents
27 28	Preventative and corrective maintenance on operating/functional plant systems, structures, and components	No accidents
29	Maintain structural integrity	No accidents
30	Process liquid radwaste	Radioactive liquid waste releases
31	Provide for safe spent fuel storage	Loss of spent fuel cooling accidents
32	Maintain security systems	No accidents
33	Maintain radwaste systems	Radioactive gas waste system leaks radioactive liquid waste releases
34	Maintain heating and ventilation, where necessary	No accidents
35 36	Maintain lighting, fire protection, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning, and alarm systems, as required	No accidents
37	Dispose of nonradioactive hazardous waste	No accidents
38	Remove unused equipment during SAFSTOR	No accidents
39	Operate and monitor required systems	No accidents

Limited decontamination of selected structures and systems

Perform general inspections during annual containment entry

40

41

42

Decontamination accidents

No accidents

3

4 5 I.4 References

6	54 FR 39767. "10 CFR Part 51 Waste Confidence Decision Review." Federal Register.
7	September 28, 1989.
8	
9	64 FR 68005. "Waste Confidence Decision Review." Federal Register. December 6, 1999.
10	
11	Oak, H. D., G. M. Holter, W. E. Kennedy, Jr., and G. J. Konzek. 1980. Technology, Safety and
12	Cost of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling Water Reactor Power Station.
13	NUREG/CR-0672, NRC, Washington, D.C.
14	Soilor V. L. et al. 1007. Sovere Assidents in Sport Eval Books in Support of Congris Sofative
15 16	Sailor, V. L., et al. 1987. Severe Accidents in Spent Fuel Pools in Support of Generic Safety Issue 82, NUREG/CR-4982, NRC, Washington, D.C.
17	133de 02, NONEO/ON-4902, NNO, Washington, D.O.
18	Smith, R. I., G. J. Konzek, and W. E. Kennedy, Jr. 1978. Technology, Safety and Costs of
19	Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized Water Reactor Power Station. NUREG/CR-0130,
20	NRC, Washington, D.C.
21	and the second of the second o
22	Travis, R. J., R. E. Davis, E. J. Grove, and M. A. Azarm. 1997. A Safety and Regulatory
23	Assessment of Generic BWR and PWR Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Plants.
24	NUREG/CR-6451, NRC, Washington, D.C.
25	
26	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1991. Manual of Protective Action Guides and
27	Protective Actions for Nuclear Incidents, 400-R-92-001, EPA, Washington, D.C.
28	
29	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1988. Final Generic Environmental Impact
30	Statement on Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities. NUREG-0583, NRC, Washington, D.C.
31	
32	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1989. Regulatory Analysis for the Resolution of
33	Generic Issue 82, "Beyond Design Basis Accidents in Spent Nuclear Fuel Pools."
34	NUREG-1353, NRC, Washington, D.C.
35	11.0 Nuclear Devolution Commission 2004. Technical Otude of Open Final Deal Assistant District
36	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2001. Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk
37	at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants. NUREG-1738, NRC, Washington, D.C.

10 CFR 51. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Energy, Part 51, "Environmental protection

regulations for domestic licensing and related regulatory functions."

I.5 Licensing Basis Documents

One of the sources of information used in this report was licensing basis documents. The sources of information listed below by nuclear facility were consulted. The documents that are listed have been docketed by the NRC and are publicly available. The docket numbers for the facilities are noted below next to the facility name.

The documents can be obtained one of three ways. First, by accessing the NRC's website the reader can obtain most of the Post-Shutdown Defueling Activities Reports (PSDARs) and License Termination Plans (LTPs) that are cited in this chapter. The address for the decommissioning page on the NRC's website is http://www.nrc.gov/OPA/reports/dcmmssng.htm.

Second, the documents can be obtained from the Public Electronic Reading Room, which provides access to the NRC's new records-management system of publicly available information the Agency wide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). Within this system you can access two libraries: the Publicly Available Records System, and that Public Legacy Library.

This system, which was implemented on October 12, 1999, marks a change in the previous practice where records were available only in paper or microfiche copies at either the main NRC Public Document Room in Washington, DC or at 86 local public document rooms at libraries near nuclear power plants and other regulated facilities throughout the United States. Access to the NRC Public Electronic Reading Room will now be possible from personal computers, including those located in most public libraries.

ADAMS is an electronic information system that allows access to NRC's publicly available documents via the Internet. It permits full text searching and the ability to view document images, download files, and print locally. It also provides a more timely release of information by the NRC and faster access to documents by the public, than before. The reader can obtain the documents cited in this Appendix by providing the facility name (e.g., Trojan) or the docket number cited for each facility as shown at the end of this section, and the name or date of the document.

ADAMS can be accessed via the Internet at the NRC's website using the following URL: http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html. This site contains instructions for installing and running ADAMS as well as information on obtaining assistance during installation or use.

The Public Electronic Reading Room on the NRC Web site at <www.nrc.gov> allows the public to use the Internet to search for any of the records that NRC has already released to the public. This site uses NRC's Agency wide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) to search two electronic libraries: the Public Legacy Library and the Publicly Available Records System (PARS) Library. The Public Legacy Library currently has a selection of

bibliographic descriptions and some full text files of NRC records released to the public prior to Fall 1999. Records in this library were copied from the NRC Bibliographic Retrieval System (BRS) and the Nuclear Document System (NUDOCS), the two systems previously used by the public to search for NRC records. Both BRS and NUDOCS will remain available for searching until all the records are in the Legacy Library. The other library, the Publicly Available Records System (PARS) Library, contains all NRC publicly available records released since Fall 1999. The records in the PARS Library are in, both, full text and image and the public can perform full text searches of the database, as well as view, download, and print the files from there.

Third, the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) at NRC Headquarters in Rockville, Maryland (One White Flint North, 20555 Rockville Pike, Washington DC 20555-0001 (1-800-397-4209), has a complete collection of over two million NRC documents released prior to the Fall of 1999 that are still retained as agency documents. The public may view documents at the PDR and there are reference librarians available to help in identifying, retrieving, organizing, and evaluating NRC documents from various resources and formats, including the Public Electronic Reading Room. Members of the public may also access the Electronic Reading Room libraries from computer terminals in the PDR. The PDR also provides reproduction services and, for a fee, the public can order copies of any of the records in the PDR, the Legacy, and the PARS libraries.

Big Rock Point (NRC Docket Number 50-155)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). Undated. Transmittal of Safety Evaluation, Environmental Assessment and Notice of Issuance.

Consumers Energy. February 27, 1995. Big Rock Point Plant Decommissioning Plan.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1995. Environmental Assessment by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Related to the Request to Authorize Facility Decommissioning of Big Rock Point Nuclear Power Company, Consumers Energy.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1995. Safety Evaluation Report by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Related to the Request to Authorize Facility Decommissioning of Big Rock Point Nuclear Plant, Consumers Energy.

Consumers Energy. September 19, 1997. Big Rock Point Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report, Rev. 1.

Consumers Energy. September 19, 1997. Letter from Kenneth P. Powers, Consumers Energy, to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Big Rock Point Plant - Request for Exemption from 10 CFR 50 Requirements for Emergency Planning."

1	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). February 23, 1998. Letter from NRC to Kenneth
2	P. Powers, Big Rock Nuclear Plant, Consumers Energy Company. "Request for Additional
3	Information Request for Exemption from Offsite Emergency Planning Requirements."
4	
5	Consumers Energy. February 23, 1998. Request for Addition Information: Request for
6	exemption from offsite emergency planning requirements.
7	
8	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). September 30, 1998. Letter from NRC to
9	Consumers Energy, "Exemption from Certain Requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(q) Regarding
10	Offsite Emergency Planning Activities at Big Rock Point Nuclear Plant and Approval of
11	Defueled Emergency Plan."
12	
13	Dresden, Unit 1 (NRC Docket Number 50-010)
14	
15	Commonwealth Edison Company. April 10, 1989. "Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1,
16	Emergency Plan Response to Request for Additional Information."
17	
18	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). September 3, 1993. Letter from Office of
19	Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to D.L. Farrar, Commonwealth Edison Company. "Order to
20	Authorize Decommissioning of Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, and Amendment No. 37
21	to License No. DPR-2."
22	
23	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). April 15, 1994. Letter from NRC to M.J. Wallace,
24	Commonwealth Edison Company, "Special Inspection of a Potential Loss of Water from the
25	Dresden Unit 1 Spent Fuel Storage Pool and the Plant's Compliance to the SAFSTOR Decom-

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). October 20, 1995. Letter from Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to D.L. Farrar, Commonwealth Edison Company. "Issuance of Amendments."

missioning Plan (Inspection Report No. 50-010/94001)."

Commonwealth Edison Company. December 1996. Decommissioning Program Plan for the Dresden Nuclear Power Station Unit 1: Commonwealth Edison Company. Rev. 5.

 Commonwealth Edison Company. December 19, 1996. Letter from J. Stephen Perry, Dresden Station, Commonwealth Edison Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Dresden Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 Decommissioning Program Plan, vision 5, NRC Docket Number 50-010." JSPLTR #960245.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). July 8, 1997. "Issuance of Amendment 39." [Includes Technical Specifications and Safety Evaluation.]

1	Fermi, Unit 1 (NRC Docket Number 50-016)
2 3 4 5	Detroit Edison Company. September 15, 1986. Letter from Detroit Edison to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Request for Additional Information as Outlined in 10CFR51.45(b) for Fermi 1." VP-86-0118.
6 7 8 9	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). April 1989. The Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Safety Evaluation Supporting Amendment No. 9 to Possession-Only License No. DRP-9: Fermi Unit No. 1.
10 11 12 13 14	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). April 28, 1989. Letter from Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to W.S. Orser, Detroit Edison Company. "Issuance of Amendment No. 9 to Renew Possession-Only License No. DPR-9 for Fermi Unit 1.
15 16	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). April 2, 1996. "Inspection Results - Fermi 1."
17 18 19 20	Detroit Edison Company. August 23, 1996. Letter from Douglas R. Gipson, Detroit Edison Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant, Unit 1: Annual Report Year Ending June 30, 1996." #NRC-96-0110.
21 22 23	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). November 21, 1996. Meeting Summary by U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Summary of September 27, 1996, Meeting Regarding Status of Detroit Edison Company's Plans to Decommission its Fermi 1 Facility."
24252627	Detroit Edison Company. October 2, 1997. Letter from Douglas R. Gipson, Detroit Edison Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Notification of Changes in Fermi 1 Schedule and Activities." #NRC-97-0110.
28 29 30 31 32	Detroit Edison Company. December 15, 1997. Letter from Douglas R. Gipson, Detroit Edison Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Application for a License Amendment – Fermi Safety Analysis Report." #NRC-97-0115.
33 34	Fort St. Vrain (NRC Docket Number 50-267)
35 36 37	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). October 3, 1991. "Natural Gas Hazards at Fort St. Vrain." NRC Information Notice 91-63.
38 39 40	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). November 20, 1992. Letter from NRC to Public Service Company of Colorado. "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact regarding exemption from emergency preparedness requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(q)."

DRAFT NUREG-0586 Supplement 1

40 41 42

43

Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to A. Clegg Crawford, Public Service Company of Colorado.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). November 23, 1992. Letter from Office of

1 2	"Order to Authorize Decommissioning of Fort St. Vrain and Amendment No. 85 to Possession Only License No. DPR-34."
3	,
4 5	Haddam Neck (NRC Docket Number 50-213)
6 7	Haddam Neck Plant Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. October 1995. Section 15.1, pp. 15.1-1 – 15.5-4; Table 15.5-1 (May 1987), 15.5-2 (May 1996), and 15.5-3 May 1987).
8 9	Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company. August 31, 1996. "Licensee Event Report:
10 11	Pinhole Leak on Inlet Valve to "A" Residual Heat Removal Heat Exchanger."
12 13 14	Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company. August 22, 1997. Cover letter from Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission re "Haddam Neck Plant Post Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report." CY-97-075.
15 16 17 18	Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company. December 18, 1997. Letter from R.A. Mellor, Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Haddam Neck Plant: Additional Information for the Proposed Defueled Emergency Plan." CY-97-121.
20 21 22 23 24	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). August 28, 1998. Letter from NRC to Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company, "Exemption from a Portion of 10 CFR 50.54(q) and Approval of Defueled Emergency Plan at Haddam Neck Plant."
2 4 25 26	Humboldt Bay, Unit 3 (NRC Docket Number 50-133)
27 28 29	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). April 1987. Final Environmental Statement for Decommissioning Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit No. 3. NUREG-1166, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.
30 31 32 33	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). July 1994. SAFSTOR: Decommissioning Plan for the Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit 3. Revision 1.
34 35 36	Pacific Gas and Electric. February 27, 1998. Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit 3, Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report.
37 38	Indian Point, Unit 1 (NRC Docket Number 50-003)
39 40 41	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). October 17, 1980. "USNRC Order to Authorize Decommissioning and Amendment No. 45."
† 1 12 13	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. March 28, 1988. Supplemental Environmental Information in Support of Indian Point Unit 1.

1	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. August 10, 1989. Letter from A. Clegg
2	Crawford, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., to Office of Nuclear Reactor
3	Regulation, NRC. "Response to NRC Request for Additional Information on Indian Point Unit 1
4	Decommissioning."
5	ŭ
6	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). June 18, 1993. Letter from Office of Nuclear
7	Reactor Regulation, NRC, to Stephen B. Bram, Consolidated Edison Company of New York,
8	Inc "Indian Point Unit 1 Decommissioning Plan Request for Additional Information."
9	3
10	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. September 20, 1993. Indian Point Unit 1
11	Decommissioning Plan. Request for Additional Information.
12	
13	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). January 2, 1996. "Approval of Decommissioning
14	Plan and Amendment of License for Indian Point Unit 1, Consolidated Edison Company of New
15	York, Inc."
16	
17	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. January 31, 1996. Appendix A to Provisional
18	Operating License DPR-5 for the Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Amendment
19	No. 45, Indian Point Station Unit No. 1.
20	
21	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). January 31, 1996. Order to Authorize Decom-
22	missioning and Amendment No. 45 to License No. DPR-5 for Indian Point Unit No. 1.
23	
24	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). January 31, 1996. Cover letter from Office of
25	Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to the Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
26	Indian Point Unit No. 1. "Amendment to Provisional Operating License."
27	
28	La Crosse (NRC Docket Number 50-409)
29	·
30	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). December 23, 1987. Letter from NRC to
31	Dairyland Power Cooperative. "Exempted from Requirement to Conduct 1987 Exercise and
32	Exempted from Requirement to Produce and Distribute Annual Information Brochure to Public."
33	
34	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). April 1, 1988. "Notice of Consolidation of
35	Issuance of Amendment to Facility License."
36	
37	La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor (LACBWR). May 1991. Decommissioning Plan. Prepared by
38	the LACBWR staff, La Crosse, Wisconsin.
39	
40	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). September 15, 1994. Letter from Office of
41	Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards, NRC, to William L. Berg, La Crosse Boiling Water
42	Reactor, Dairyland Power Cooperative. "Confirmatory Order Modifying the August 7, 1991,
43	Decommissioning Order for the La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor."
44	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	Dairyland Power Cooperative. December 10, 1996. Letter from William L. Berg, Dairyland
2	Power Cooperative, La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
3	Dairyland Power Cooperative, La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor (LACBWR), Possession-Only
4	License DPR-45, "Annual Decommissioning Plan Revision." LAC-13570.
5	
6	Pathfinder (NRC Docket Number 50-130)
7	
8	Northern States Power Company. August 31, 1988. Pathfinder Plant Decommissioning Plan.
9	Northern States Power Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
10	
11	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). June 1990. Environmental Assessment of
12	Proposed Final Decommissioning of the Fuel Handling Building and Reactor Building at the
13	Pathfinder Generating Plant.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). June 1990. Safety Evaluation Report on Proposed Final Decommissioning of the Fuel Handling Building and Reactor Building at the Pathfinder Generating Plant.

Peach Bottom, Unit 1 (NRC Docket Number 50-171)

Philadelphia Electric Company. July 1974. Decommissioning Plan and Safety Analysis Report: Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 1. Docket No. 50-171.

Philadelphia Electric Company. May, 1975. Decommissioning Plan and Safety Analysis Report Revision. Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit 1.

Rancho Seco (NRC Docket Number 50-312)

Sacramento Municipal Utility District. "Supplement to Applicant's Environmental Report – Post Operating License Stage. Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station."

Sacramento Municipal Utility District. Undated. "Technical Specifications to Defueled Rancho Seco Facility - Proposed Amendment 182, Rev. 2."

 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). February 22, 1991. Letter from Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to Dan R. Keuter, Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station. "Issuance of Exemption to 10 CFR 50.54(q) for the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station and Approval of the Rancho Seco Emergency Plan, Change 4, 'Long Term Defueled Condition'."

Rancho Seco Decommissioning Plan. April 1991. Pp. 3-1 – 10-1, and Glossary, pp. G-1 – G-8; Decommissioning Cost Study for the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station. Prepared by

1	TLG Engineering, Inc. for the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD), Sacramento,
2	California.
3 4 5	Sacramento Municipal Utility District. May 20, 1991. Letter from Dan R. Keuter, SMUD, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Proposed Decommissioning Plan." #AGM/NUC 91-081.
6	
7	Sacramento Municipal Utility District. April 15, 1992. Letter from James R. Shetler, SMUD, to
8 9	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Response to the Request for Additional Information in Support of the Rancho Seco Decommissioning Plan and Associated Environmental Report."
10	#DAGM/NUC 92-086.
11	11 B (CIVIT 10 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 .
12	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). June 16, 1993. Letter from Office of Nuclear
13	Reactor Regulation, NRC, to James R. Shetler, Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station.
14	"Environmental Assessment, Notice of Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of
15	No Significant Impact, Safety Evaluation, and Evaluation of the Decommissioning Funding Plan
16	Related to Request to Decommission Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station."
17	
18	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). March 20, 1995. Letter from Office of Nuclear
19	Reactor Regulation, NRC, to James R. Shetler, Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station.
20	"Order Approving the Decommissioning Plan and Authorizing Decommissioning of Rancho
21	Seco Nuclear Generating Station and Approval of the Decommissioning Funding Plan."
22	
23	Sacramento Municipal Utility District. March 18, 1996. Letter from Steve J. Redeker, SMUD, to
24	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Proposed License Amendment No. 192, Updated Cask
25	Drop Design Basis Analysis and Editorial Changes to Load Handling Limit Specification D3/4.3.
26	MPC&D 96-034.
27 28	Sacramento Municipal Utility District. October 14, 1996. "Amendment 2 to the Rancho Seco
29	Defueled Safety Analysis Report."
30	Derueled Salety Analysis Report.
31	Sacramento Municipal Utility District. January 29, 1997. Letter from Steve J. Redeker, SMUD,
32	to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Rancho Seco Decommissioning Schedule Change."
33	MPC&D 97-006.
34	
35	Sacramento Municipal Utility District. March 20, 1997. Rancho Seco Post-Shutdown Decom-
36	missioning Activities Report, Docket No. 50-312. Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station,
37	License No. DPR-54.
38	
39	San Onofre, Unit 1 (NRC Docket Number 50-206)
40	
41	San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1. Decommissioning Plan. Vision 0. Southern
42 43	California Edison Company, Irvine, California, and San Diego Gas and Electric Company, San Diego, California.

1	San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1. December 1988. San Onofre 1 Final Safety
2	Analysis Report, Updated. Section 15.17, pp. 15.17-1 – 15.18-4, Tables 15.18-1 – 15.18-3, and
3	Figures 15.18-1 – 15.18-4.
4 5	Southern California Edison Company. November 23, 1993. Letter from Walter Marsh,
6	Southern California Edison Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Docket
7	No. 50-206, Amendment Application No. 211, Supplement 2, Permanently Defueled Technical
8	specifications, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1."
9	specifications, ball officine Nuclear Generating Station, offic 1.
10	Southern California Edison Company. May 12, 1993. Letter from Harold B. Ray, Southern
11	California Edison Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Docket No. 50-206.
12	Amendment Application No. 211, Permanently Defueled Technical Specifications, San Onofre
13	Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1."
14	3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
15	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). December 28, 1993. Letter from Office of
16	Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to Harold B. Ray, Southern California Edison Company.
17	"Issuance of Amendment No. 155 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-13, San Onofre
18	Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1, Permanently Defueled Technical Specifications."
19	
20	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). December 28, 1993. Safety Evaluation by the
21	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Related to Amendment No. 155 to Facility Operating
22	License No. DPR-13. Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas and Electric
23	Company, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1, Docket No. 50-206.
24	
25	Southern California Edison Company. March 7, 1994. "Revision 6.0 to the Site Emergency
26	Plan."
27	Courthour Colifornia Edison Common Mousember 2, 4004, "Drangood Decemberianing Diag
28	Southern California Edison Company. November 3, 1994. "Proposed Decommissioning Plan,
29 30	San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1."
31	Southern California Edison Company. November 29, 1994. "Application for Termination of
32	License."
33	Electrise.
34	Southern California Edison Company. August 16, 1996. Letter from Gregory T. Gibson,
35	Southern California Edison Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Unit 1 Spent
36	Fuel Pool Information: San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1."
37	in the second se
38	Saxton (NRC Docket Number 50-146)
39	

tal Facility." 0301-96-2006.

40

41 42 GPU Nuclear, Inc. February 16, 1996. "Decommissioning Plan for Saxton Nuclear Experimen-

GPU Nuclear, Inc. February 1998. Updated Safety Analysis Report for Decommissioning the SNEC Facility. Revision 2. Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation/GPU Nuclear, Inc., Middletown, Pennsylvania.

GPU Nuclear, Inc. March 3, 1998. Letter from G.A. Kuehn, GPU Nuclear, Inc. to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "SNEC Facility Response to Question 7 of the Fourth Request for Additional Information." 6L20-98-20105.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). March 1998. Letter from Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to G.A. Kuehn, Jr., GPU Nuclear, Inc.. "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Related to Request to Authorize Facility Decommissioning, Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility."

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). March 1998. Letter from Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to G.A. Kuehn, Jr., GPU Nuclear, Inc.. "Issuance of Amendment No. 15 to Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 – GPU Nuclear, Inc. and Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation."

Shoreham (NRC Docket Number 50-322)

Shoreham Nuclear Power Station. January 15, 1994. Letter from A.J. Bortz, Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Request for Approval of Decommissioning Plan Change: Spent Fuel Storage Pool (SFSP) Decommissioning Shoreham Nuclear Power Station – Unit 1, Docket No. 50-322."

Shoreham Nuclear Power Station. January 1994. Licensee Event Report 93-002, Shoreham Nuclear Power Station – Unit 1, Docket No. 50-322. LSNRC-2143, Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Wading River, New York.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). February 1993. Updated Decommissioning Plan, Long Island Power Authority, Shoreham Nuclear Power Station. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). September 30, 1993. Letter from NRC to Long Island Power Authority, "Issuance of Exemption from the Emergency Preparedness Requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(q) for the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1. Emergency Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact."

Shoreham Nuclear Power Station. October 1993. Decommissioning Plan Change Notification: Removal of Reactor Pressure Vessel Bioshield Wall: Shoreham Nuclear Power Station – Unit 1. Docket No. 50-332, Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Wading River, New York.

1 2	Trojan Nuclear Plant (NRC Docket Number 50-344)
3 4 5 6 7	Portland General Electric Company. June 18, 1997. Letter from Stephen M. Quennoz, Portland General Electric Company, Trojan Nuclear Plant, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Response to NRC Request for Additional Information – Reactor Vessel Package."
8 9 10	Portland General Electric Company. June 18, 1997. Trojan Reactor Vessel Dose Analysis. VPN-048-97, Portland General Electric Company, Portland, Oregon.
11 12 13	Portland General Electric Company. March 31, 1997. Trojan Reactor Vessel Package: Safety Analysis Report. PGE-1076, Portland General Electric Company, Portland, Oregon.
14	Vallecitos Nuclear Center, GE-VBWR (NRC Docket Number 50-018)
15 16 17 18	Kornblith, L., Jr., E. Strain, and L. Welsh. February 1, 1957. The General Electric Developmental Boiling Water Reactor: Description. SG-VAL 1, General Electric Company, Portland, Oregon.
19 20 21 22	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. July 25, 1966. Order Authorizing Dismantling of Facility General Electric Company/Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C.
23 24 25 26 27	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). September 30, 1992. Letter from Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, to Gary L. Stimmell, General Electric Company. "Issuance of Amendment No. 16 to Facility License No. TR-1 for the General Electric Test Reactor License."
28 29 30 31 32	General Electric Company. August 21, 1995. Letter from G.E. Cunningham, General Electric Company, to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "License R-33, Docket No. 50-73, VNC Reactor Facilities Radiological Emergency Plan; October, 1981 (as Revised)."
33 34 35 36	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). April 22, 1996. Letter from Thomas P. Bwynn, Division of Reactor Safety, NRC, to Gary L. Stimmell, General Electric Company, Vallecitos Nuclear Center. "NRC Inspection Report 50-073/96/01; 50-070/96-01; 50-018/96/01; 50-183/96-01.
37 38 39	Yankee Rowe (NRC Docket Number 50-029)

October 2001

40

41

42 43 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). October 30, 1992. Letter from NRC to Yankee

50.54(q) and Approval of the Defueled Emergency Plan at the Yankee Nuclear Power Station."

Atomic Electric Company, "Exemption from the Emergency Preparedness Rule 10 CFR

1	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). August 19, 1993. Letter from Division of Reactor
2	Projects, NRC, to Mr. Jay K. Thayer, Yankee Atomic Electric Company. "Yankee Rowe
3	Inspection 93-05."
4	·
5	Yankee Atomic Electric Company. December 20, 1993. "Decommissioning Plan for Yankee
6	Nuclear Power Station." BYR 93-087.
7	
8	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). December 14, 1994. Environmental Assessment
9	Related to the Request to Authorize Facility Decommissioning: Yankee Nuclear Power Station,
10	Yankee Atomic Electric Company.
11	
12	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). February 2, 1995. "Issuance of Decommission-
13	ing Order to Yankee Atomic Electric Company Approving Yankee Nuclear Power Station
14	Decommissioning Plan."
15	
16	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). February 14, 1995. Letter from Office of Nuclear
17	Reactor Regulation, NRC, to James A. Kay, Yankee Atomic Electric Company. "Order
18	Approving the Decommissioning Plan and Authorizing Decommissioning of the Yankee Nuclear
19	Power Station."
20	
21	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). November 5, 1995. Letter from Division of
22	Reactor Safety, NRC, to Russell Mellor, Yankee Atomic Electric Company. "Yankee Rowe
23	Inspection 95-04." NRC Inspection Report 50-029/95-04.